arXiv:1404.0434v1 [cs.IT] 2 Apr 2014

New Asymptotic Metrics for Relative Generalized Hamming Weight

Ryutaroh Matsumoto*
*Department of Communications and Computer Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 152-8550 Japan

***Abstract*—It was recently shown that RGHW (relative generalized Hamming weight) exactly expresses the security of linear ramp secret sharing scheme. In this paper we determine the true value of the asymptotic metric for RGHW previously proposed by Zhuang et al. in 2013. Then we propose new asymptotic metrics useful for investigating the optimal performance of linear ramp secret sharing scheme constructed from a pair of linear codes. We also determine the true values of the proposed metrics in many cases.**

I. Introduction

It was shown in [2], [4] that any pair of nested linear codes $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ can be used for constructing a linear ramp secret sharing scheme [1], [9]. Recently, Kurihara et al. [6] showed that the smallest number of shares required for an adversary to illegitimately obtain at least $t \log_2 q$ bits of information is exactly expressed by the t-th relative generalized Hamming weight (RGHW) of $C_1^\perp \subset C_2^\perp$ proposed by Luo et al. [7]. In order to clarify how good secret sharing schemes can be constructed from linear codes, it is indispensable to discover existential bounds on RGHW similar to the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. Toward this direction, Zhuang et al. [10] and this author [8] proposed such existential bounds.

In [10], Zhuang et al. proposed an asymptotic metric of RGHW that is the highest information rate of a larger code C_1 of length n with specified RGHW $n\delta$, and derived lower bounds on their proposed metric. Firstly we show that the true value of their metric is $1-\delta$ in Theorem 7. Then we argue that their asymptotic metric is a bit inconvenient for investigating the asymptotically optimal linear ramp secret sharing scheme mainly because the positive information rate of information leakage is not taken into account. To overcome the inconveniences we propose new asymptotic metrics for RGHW as Definitions 8 and 12 and determine their true values in many cases in Corollary 11 and Theorem 13 by using [8].

II. Preliminaries

For $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$, the t-th RGHW is defined as [7]

$$M_t(C_1, C_2) = \min_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}} \{|I| \mid \dim C_1 \cap V_I - \dim C_2 \cap V_I \geq t\}, \quad (1)$$

where $V_I = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{F}_q^n \mid i \notin I \Rightarrow x_i = 0\}$ for a subset I of the index set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The author proposed the following bound:

This manuscript was accepted for presentation in 2014 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, June 29–July 4, 2014 Hawai'i Convention Center — Honolulu, HI, USA.

Proposition 1: [8, Corollary 3] For integers a, u, v, w, define

$$N_1(w,u) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{u-1} q^w - q^i}{\prod_{i=0}^{u-1} q^u - q^i} \quad (2)$$

$$N_2(w,u,v) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{v-1} q^w - q^{u+i}}{\prod_{i=0}^{v-1} q^v - q^i} \quad (3)$$

$$N_3(w,u,v,a) = N_1(u,a) \cdot N_2(w-a, u-a, v-a). \quad (4)$$

There exists a nested pair $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ of linear codes with $\dim C_1 = k_1$ and $\dim C_2 = k_2$ such that $M_t(C_1, C_2) \geq d$ if[1] $1 \leq t \leq k_1 - k_2 - 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{n}{d} \sum_{b=t+1}^{k_1-k_2} \sum_{a=0}^{\min\{d-b, k_1-b, k_2\}} N_1(d,a) \cdot N_2(n-a, d-a, k_2-a) \\ &\cdot N_3(n-k_2, d-a, k_1-k_2, b) \\ &< N_1(n,k_2) \cdot N_1(n-k_2, k_1-k_2). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Proof: See [8]. ∎

In order to derive asymptotic expressions, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2: [5, Corollary 2] Define $\pi(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{-i})$. Then we have

$$\pi(q) q^{u(w-u)} \leq N_1(w,u) \leq \pi(q)^{-1} q^{u(w-u)}. \quad (6)$$

Lemma 3:

$$N_2(w,u,v) \leq \pi(q)^{-1} q^{v(w-v)} \quad (7)$$

$$N_3(w,u,v,a) \leq \pi(q)^{-1} q^{u(u-a)} q^{(v-a)(w-v)}. \quad (8)$$

Proof: Equation (7) follows from (6) and $N_2(w,u,v) \leq N_2(w,0,v) = N_1(w,v)$. Equation (8) follows from (6) and (7). ∎

In order to evaluate the binomial coefficient asymptotically, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 4: [3, Example 11.1.3] Define $H_q(x) = -x \log_q x - (1-x)\log_q(1-x)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n+1} q^{nH_q(m/n)} \leq \binom{n}{m} \leq q^{nH_q(m/n)}. \quad (9)$$

We shall use the Singleton bound in proofs of Lemma 6, Theorem 7 and Corollary 11.

Proposition 5 (Singleton bound): [10] For any $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ we have $M_t(C_1, C_2) + \dim C_1 \leq n + t$.

[1] $t \leq k_1 - k_2 - 1$ was forgotten in [8, Corollary 3].

III. Asymptotic Metrics for RGHW

Zhuang et al. [10] introduced the following asymptotic metric $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \max\{\dim C_1/n \mid \text{there exists some } C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n \text{ such that } M_t(C_1, C_2)/n \geq \delta\}$. In order to investigate the best realizable performance of secret sharing asymptotically, there are the following inconveniences in $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta)$.

1) t does not grow with n in $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta)$ and t/n tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. In the context of secret sharing, t/n represents the rate of information leaked to an adversary who has collected shares illegitimately. In the ramp secret sharing scheme [1], [9], it is important to analyze nonzero information leakage as well as the zero information leakage. The definition of $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta)$ cannot enable such analysis.
2) The definition of $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta)$ does not take $\dim C_2$ into account. The information rate of secret messages is $(\dim C_1 - \dim C_2)/n$ in secret sharing, but $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta)$ does not enable analysis of information rate.
3) We shall show in Theorem 7 $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta) = 1-\delta$, which makes $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta)$ independent of t in addition to $\dim C_2$.

Lemma 6: For any $0 \leq k_2 < k_1 \leq n$ and any prime power q, if $t = k_1 - k_2$ then there exists $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ such that $M_t(C_1, C_2) = n + t - k_1$.

Proof: Let C_2 be V_J with $J = \{1, 2, \ldots, k_2\}$ if $k_2 \geq 1$ and $C_2 = \{0\}$ if $k_2 = 0$. Let D be any $(k_1 - k_2)$-dimensional space whose support is $\{k_2+1, \ldots, n\}$. Clearly we have $D \cap C_2 = \{0\}$. Let $C_1 = D + C_2$.

In order for V_I in (1) to satisfy $\dim C_1 \cap V_I - \dim C_2 \cap V_I \geq t$, V_I must contain D, which makes $|I| \geq n - k_2 = n + t - k_1$. This shows $M_t(C_1, C_2) \geq n + t - k_1$. On the other hand, $M_t(C_1, C_2)$ cannot be larger than $n+t-k_1$ because of the Singleton bound for RGHW [10]. ∎

Theorem 7:

$$\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta) = 1 - \delta. \tag{10}$$

Proof: Let $d = \lfloor n\delta \rfloor$, $k_1 = n+t-d$, $k_2 = n-d$. By Lemma 6 there exist $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q$ such that $\dim C_1 = n + t - \lfloor n\delta \rfloor$, $\dim C_2 = n - \lfloor n\delta \rfloor$, and $M_t(C_1, C_2) = \lfloor n\delta \rfloor$. Letting $n \to \infty$ shows $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta) \geq 1-\delta$. The Singleton bound shows $\alpha_q^{(t)}(\delta) \leq 1-\delta$. ∎

In order to overcome these inconveniences, we propose the following asymptotic metric for RGHW:

Definition 8: $\delta_q(\tau, R_1, R_2) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \max\{d/n \mid$ there exists some $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ such that $t \leq n\tau$, $\dim C_1 \geq nR_1$, $\dim C_2 \leq nR_2$, $M_t(C_1, C_2) \geq d\}$.

The proposed new metric has the following operational meanings: $\delta_q(\tau, R_1, R_2)$ is the largest fraction of shares in secret sharing constructed from a code C_1 with information rate R_1 and C_2 with R_2 with which an adversary with arbitrary $n\delta_q$ shares has at most $\tau \log_2 q$ bits of information per codeword symbol.

IV. Asymptotic Analysis

Theorem 9: Fix $0 \leq R_1 \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $0 < \tau \leq \min\{R_1, \delta\}$. If

$$R_1 + \delta < 1 + \tau, \tag{11}$$

then for any $0 \leq R_2 \leq R_1 - \tau$, any prime power q, and sufficiently large n, there exist $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ such that $\dim C_1 = \lfloor nR_1 \rfloor$, $\dim C_2 = \lceil nR_2 \rceil$, and $M_{\lceil n\tau \rceil}(C_1, C_2) \geq \lfloor n\delta \rfloor$.

Proof: We shall show that (11) implies (5) when n is large. Fix R_2 and q as assumed in the theorem. Observe that

$$\sum f(j) \leq \max f(j) \times \text{the number of terms in } \sum. \tag{12}$$

By using this idea and (6)–(9), we see the left hand side of (5) is less than or equal to

$$\underbrace{(k_1 - k_2 - t)(1 + \min\{d - b, k_1 - b, k_2\})\pi(q)^{-4}}_{=\mathrm{poly}(n)} \times \text{exponential function of } n. \tag{13}$$

We also see that the right hand side of (5) is also an exponential function of n. In order to seek a sufficient condition for (5) when n is large, we can ignore poly(n) in (13), take $\log_q$, and divide it by n^2 (not n). Then by using (6)–(9) and (12) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{k_2}{n}\left(1 - \frac{k_2}{n}\right) + \left(\frac{k_1}{n} - \frac{k_2}{n}\right)\left(1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right) \\ > &\max_{t/n+1/n \leq b/n \leq k_1/n - k_2/n, 0 \leq a/n \leq \min\{d/n - b/n, k_1/n - b/n, k_2/n\}} \\ &\left[\frac{H_q(d/n)}{n} + a/n(d/n - a/n) + (k_2/n - a/n)(1 - k_2/n)\right. \\ &\left. + (b/n)(d/n - a/n - b/n) + (k_1/n - k_2/n - b/n)(1 - k_1/n)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

is a sufficient condition for (5) when n is large. Observe that the maximum is always achieved at $b = t + 1$ and we can substitute b by $t+1$. By identifying R_1, R_2, α, δ, τ with k_1/n, k_2/n, a/n, d/n, t/n we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &R_2(1 - R_2) + (R_1 - R_2)(1 - R_1) \\ > &\frac{H_q(\delta)}{n} + \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \min\{\delta - \tau, R_1 - \tau, R_2\}} [\alpha(\delta - \alpha) + (R_2 - \alpha)(1 - R_2) \\ &+ \tau(\delta - \alpha - \tau) + (R_1 - R_2 - \tau)(1 - R_1)], \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

is a sufficient condition for (14) when n is sufficiently large. Since $\delta \geq \tau$, we see that the maximum in (15) is achieved at $\alpha = 0$. Substituting $\alpha = 0$ into (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &R_2(1 - R_2) + (R_1 - R_2)(1 - R_1) \\ > &\frac{H_q(\delta)}{n} + R_2(1 - R_2) + \tau(\delta - \tau) + (R_1 - R_2 - \tau)(1 - R_1). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Subtracting $R_2(1-R_2)+(R_1-R_2)(1-R_1)$ from both sides yields

$$0 > \frac{H_q(\delta)}{n} + \tau(R_1 + \delta - 1 - \tau). \tag{17}$$

Since we have assumed $\tau > 0$ we can divide (17) by τ, ignore $\frac{H_q(\delta)}{n}$ and obtain (11). ∎



Fig. 1. Comparison of lower bounds on $\delta_q^0(t, R_1, R_2)$ for $q = 4$ and $t = 2$.

Remark 10: One can deduce Theorem 9 not only from Proposition 1 but also from [10, Theorem 2 or 3].

Corollary 11: If $\tau > 0$ or $\tau = R_1 - R_2$ then

$$\delta_q(\tau, R_1, R_2) = 1 + \tau - R_1.$$

Proof: For $\tau > 0$, Theorem 9 shows $\delta_q(\tau, R_1, R_2) \geq 1 + \tau - R_1$. For $\tau = R_1 - R_2$, Lemma 6 does it. The opposite inequality follows from the Singleton bound for RGHW. ∎

When $\tau = 0$ and $R_1 > R_2$, the situation is unclear. In order to investigate that case, we introduce another definition.

Definition 12: Let t be a positive integer. $\delta_q^0(t,\ R_1,\ R_2) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \max\{d/n \mid$ there exists some $C_2 \subset C_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ such that $\dim C_1 \geq nR_1$, $\dim C_2 \leq nR_2$, $M_t(C_1,\ C_2) \geq d\}$.

Theorem 13:

$$\delta_q^0(t, R_1, R_1) = 1 - R_1 \tag{18}$$

$$\delta_q^0(t, R_1, R_2) \geq 1 - \frac{H_q(\delta)}{t} - R_1. \tag{19}$$

Proof: Equation (18) follows from Lemma 6. To show (19), by substituting $\tau = t/n$ to (17) and multiplying n to both sides, we obtain

$$0 > H_q(\delta) + t(R_1 + \delta - 1 - t/n).$$

Dividing it by t yields

$$\delta < 1 + \frac{t}{n} - \frac{H_q(\delta)}{t} - R_1$$

as a sufficient condition for (5) when n is large, and we see (19). ∎

As $t \to \infty$ (19) tends to $1 - R_1$, which seems consistent with Corollary 11. Zhuang et al. [10, Eq. (25)] showed

$$\delta_q^0(t, R_1, R_2) \geq \max\{\delta \mid R_1 \geq 1 - \delta + \frac{\delta}{t}\log_q \frac{\delta}{1 - q^{-t}} + \frac{1-\delta}{t}\log_q(1-\delta)\}. \tag{20}$$

As $t \to \infty$ (20) also tends to $1 - R_1$. (19) and (20) are compared in Fig. 1 for $q = 4$ and $t = 2$.

V. Conclusion

In this paper we determined the true value of the asymptotic metric for RGHW proposed by Zhuang et al. [10]. Then we proposed new asymptotic metrics useful for analysis of *ramp* secret sharing schemes. We also determined the true values of the proposed metrics in many cases.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank anonymous reviewers of IEEE ISIT 2014 providing comments helpful to improve this manuscript. He would also like to thank Olav Geil, Diego Ruano, Stefano Martin in Aalborg University, and Yuta Hasegawa in Tokyo Institute of Technology for stimulating discussions. This research is partly supported by the JSPS Grant Nos. 23246071 and 26289116.

References

[1] G. R. Blakley and C. Meadows, "Security of ramp schemes," in *Advances in Cryptology–CRYPTO'84*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 196, 1985, pp. 242–269.

[2] H. Chen, R. Cramer, S. Goldwasser, R. de Haan, and V. Vaikuntanathan, "Secure computation from random error correcting codes," in *Advances in Cryptology–EUROCRYPT 2007*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4515, 2007, pp. 291–310.

[3] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, 2nd ed. Wiley Interscience, 2006.

[4] R. dela Cruz, A. Meyer, and P. Solé, "Extension of Massey scheme for secret sharing," in *Proc. ITW 2010*, Dublin, Ireland, 2010. [Online]. Available: doi:10.1109/CIG.2010.5592719

[5] T. Helleseth, T. Kløve, V. I. Levenshtein, and Ø. Ytrehus, "Bounds on the minimum support weights," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 432–440, Mar. 1995.

[6] J. Kurihara, T. Uyematsu, and R. Matsumoto, "Secret sharing schemes based on linear codes can be precisely characterized by the relative generalized Hamming weight," *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, vol. E95-A, no. 11, pp. 2067–2075, Nov. 2012. [Online]. Available: doi:10.1587/transfun.E95.A.2067

[7] Y. Luo, C. Mitrpant, A. J. Han Vinck, and K. Chen, "Some new characters on the wire-tap channel of type II," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 1222–1229, Mar. 2005.

[8] R. Matsumoto, "Gilbert-Varshamov-type bound for relative dimension length profile," *IEICE Comm. Express*, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 343–346, Aug. 2013. [Online]. Available: doi:10.1587/comex.2.343

[9] H. Yamamoto, "Secret sharing system using (k, l, n) threshold scheme," *Electronics and Communications in Japan (Part I: Communications)*, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 46–54, 1986, (the original Japanese version published in 1985). [Online]. Available: doi:10.1002/ecja.4410690906

[10] Z. Zhuang, Y. Luo, and B. Dai, "Code constructions and existence bounds for relative generalized Hamming weight," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 275–297, Dec. 2013.